





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR P 25204 USA 7968 09/869,006 10/05/2001 Giuseppe Chidichimo **EXAMINER** 7590 10/15/2003 SHAH, KAMINI S Gary A Hecht Synnestvedt & Lechner PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2600 Aramark Tower 2863 1101 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950 DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Mc
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A 12		09/869,006	CHIDICHIMO ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kamini S Shah	2863	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	; ••
A SH THE - External afternal a	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the maximum statutory. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimur od will apply and will expire SIX (tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communione ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7	<u>//21/03</u> .		
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims			rits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideratio	n.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requireme	nt.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊡ accepted or b)∑	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved t	o) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	d.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	d in Application No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	В
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·		ication).
·	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application	has been received.	
Attachmer	-	• •		
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	



Application/Control Number: 09/869,006

Art Unit: 2863

R sponse to Argum nts

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the three-dimensional map must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:

Box labeled 4 and 5. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.



Application/Control Number: 09/869,006

Art Unit: 2863

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Non-Invasive Measurement of temperature-versus-depth profile in biological systems using a multiple-frequency-band microwave radiometer system"; Research Institute of Electronics by Yoshinori Hamamura et al., in view of "Non-invasive Temperature Profiling Using Multi-Frequency Microwave Radiometry in the Presence of Water-Filed Bolus"; Special Issue on the 3rd Asia-Pacific Microwave conference by Shizuo Mizushina et al.

Regarding to claimed invention as best understood, Yoshinori et al teaches noninvasive measurement of temperature in a human body wherein radiometers operates Art Unit: 2863

on the single-frequency measurement principles. Yoshinori et al teaches Rayleigh-Jeans equation to describe the intensity of radiation and uses three-band microwave radiometer system wherein measurements are made automatically and displayed on the CRT in real time, see at least abstract and pg 221. Yoshinori et al does not specifically disclose measuring infrared radiation to determine the temperature. Shizuo et al teaches to measure temperature distribution in the treatment region using the microwave radiometer see abstract, lines 6-7. In figures 6 and 7, Shizuo et al discloses radiometric temperature profiling as three-dimensional map of temperature distribution. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize Shizuo's temperature measurement into the system of Hamamura et al, because it provides better precision and improves the stability of radiometer as disclosed in the conclusion section of the paper, see Shizuo's article.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2863

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamini S Shah whose telephone number is 703-305-9590. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

> Kamini S Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2863

kss